

Accelerating hydraulic component and system design with model-based systems engineering

White Paper

Hydraulic systems must satisfy increasing demands for high performance and efficiency of products without affecting durability. More and more control systems are introduced, adding another level of complexity that impacts the time and cost of development. Virtual product integration using multi-domain system simulation is a technology deployed in various industries to reduce the number of real prototypes and test benches and to solve integration problems earlier in the development cycle. The objective of this paper is to explain the requirements in terms of simulation capabilities to perform such virtual integration, and to provide several application cases.

www.siemens.com/plm

Contents

Executive summary.....	3
1. Simulation requirements.....	4
2. Application: controllability and NVH characteristics of a vane pump	5
3. Application: increase of energy efficiency	8
4. Application: reduction of vibrations.....	10
Conclusion	12
References	13

Executive summary

Hydraulic systems are present in a large number of products in all transportation industries, from the automotive industry (for fuel injection systems, braking systems and automatic transmissions) to the aerospace industry (for flight controls, landing gears and fuel systems). Heavy equipment and industrial machinery also benefit from the high power density of fluid systems in hydrostatic transmissions or actuation systems. The energy industry (for gas turbines, oil and gas) and the process industry also employ components to carry liquids.

All of these industry sectors share the same challenges:

- Satisfy the increasing demands for high performance and efficiency of products
- Manage the innovations related to smart mechatronic systems
- Reduce development time and costs

The objective of this paper is to demonstrate, with three application examples, the capabilities of model-based systems engineering within LMS Imagine.Lab Amesim™ software to support product development teams in tackling these challenges, and especially:

- Solving systems integration problems earlier in the development cycle
- Reducing the number of real prototypes and test benches
- Supporting the development and validation of more complex control strategies, with more parameters to calibrate



1. Simulation requirements

To satisfy the increasing demands for high performance and efficiency of products, the development of fluid systems requires:

- A proper sizing of pumps, valves and actuators to fulfill the requirements in terms of time, maximum performance and response time
- The optimization of energy consumption
- A stable behavior in the full range of operating conditions
- Improved noise, vibration and harshness (NVH) characteristics

As a consequence, the requirements in terms of simulation capabilities are the following:

- Advanced modeling of liquids properties: compressible liquids with strict handling of properties as a function of pressure and temperature (thermodynamic variables always respect mass and energy conservation principles), aeration and cavitation management
- Different complexity levels for components depending on simulation needs:
 - Overall trends or detailed high-fidelity behavior
 - Available input data (data sheet or CAD drawings)
 - Dynamics to be represented: quasi-static, slow or fast transients
 - Five types of components: pumps and motors, valves, actuators, heat exchangers and piping (junctions, bends, volumes, etc.)
- Mechanical simulation capabilities:
 - 1D, 2D or 3D mechanical representation with automatic assembly and animation tools, depending on the simulation need
 - Elementary physics (inertia, stiffness and damping) and applicative elements (gears, ropes and pulleys, cams, etc.)
 - Interfaces with 3D multibody tools
- Electrical simulation capabilities:
 - Level of modeling adaptable to available input data and dynamics to be represented (quasi-static, slow or fast transient)
 - Generic components: voltage or current sources, resistance, inductance, capacitance, etc.
 - Applicative components: solenoid valves, electrical motors and drives, electric network, battery
- Link with controls:
 - Embedded signal and control functionalities, including state machines
 - Import of C-coded control logic
 - Full interfaces with control development tools for model-in-the-loop and software-in-the-loop
 - Code export to all major hardware-in-the loop platforms

2. Application: controllability and NVH characteristics of a vane pump

In the automotive industry, vane pumps are commonly used for power steering and automatic transmission. Furthermore, vane pumps can be used in low-pressure gasoline applications such as secondary air injection for auto exhaust emission control, or in low-pressure chemical vapor deposition systems.

The design of a pressure-controlled vane pump is shown in Figure 1. The pump consists of a rotor with five vanes, a translating stator ring and the pump housing. The displaced volume of the pump is dependent on the eccentricity between the rotor and the stator axes. The motion of the stator ring changes the current value of the eccentricity and consequently the delivered flow rate.




Figure 1: Variable displacement vane pump.

The equivalent hydraulic circuit of the pressure-controlled vane pump is shown in Figure 2. The displaced volume of the pump is controlled by two hydraulic pistons (pilot chambers between the sliding stator ring and the pump housing); while a spring in the left piston acts in the direction of increasing the displaced volume. A pressure control valve modulates the pressure in the left pilot chamber in order to keep the pressure at the pump delivery almost constant by means of the regulation of the pump displacement.




Figure 2: Symbol of the pressure-compensated variable displacement pump.

The complete **LMS Imagine.Lab Amesim™** software model of the pressure compensated vane pump is presented in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Model of the pressure-compensated variable displacement vane pump, created with LMS Amesim.

Two specific mathematical submodels are developed for vane pumps, using LMS Amesim:

- One model describes the variable displacement volume between two consecutive vanes, the external diameter of the rotor and the internal diameter of the stator ring. The chamber volume varies with both the rotor angular position and the eccentricity between rotor and stator ring. Permanent contact between vane tip and stator ring is assumed.
- The other model describes the variable flow passage area between each chamber and the suction or the delivery. It takes also into account the silencing notch on the port rim.

The model evaluates and takes into account the following phenomena:

- Instantaneous chamber volume and flow rate induced by the variation of the volume itself
- Back flows
- Leakage flow
- Variable flow passage areas between each chamber and the suction or delivery volumes
- Instantaneous chamber pressure
- Instantaneous force acting on the stator ring in the direction of the line of the centers
- Instantaneous absorbed torque
- Cavitation phenomenon

Consequently it is possible to analyze:

- The pump efficiency in different operating conditions (in particular, the case of incomplete filling at high pump speed)
- Kinematic and real pressure/flow ripples
- The behavior of the controller (in steady and dynamic state)
- The influence of the port plate timing on the pressure/flow ripples

An orifice at the pump outlet represents the generic load pressurizing the pump delivery. In the current example, the operating conditions of the pump are summarized below:

- Constant angular speed: 1500 rpm
- Cracking pressure of the control valve: about 11.45 bar
- Initial value of the pressure in the system: 0 bar
- The loading orifice is kept at constant opening in the first phase, then partially closed during the second phase and finally kept at constant opening during the third phase

Figure 4 shows some simulation results with LMS Amesim postprocessing tools.




Figure 4: Simulation results.

The eccentricity and consequently the pump displacement are at their maximum values at the beginning. The delivery pressure builds up at about 11.45 bar, corresponding to the cracking pressure of the control valve. After this, the eccentricity slightly decreases at first in order to fit the load and secondly it keeps its steady state value. During the second phase, the load increases following a slope.

Figure 5 shows the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) on the delivery pressure.




Figure 5: Pressure in the delivery port and FFT.

The model also allows evaluation of the effects of the dissolution time – free air into the liquid – on the pump’s behavior, and especially on the pressure peaks within internal chambers (which determine the NVH characteristic) and on the forces applied to the stator ring (which determine the controllability). Consequently, batch runs on the dissolution time are used with the following set of parameters with some quite extreme values for investigation purposes. Figure 6 shows simulation results with the pump speed $\omega = 1500$ rpm. The chamber pressurization depends on the dissolution time (τ_{diss}) and the period during which volumes are trapped between the suction and the delivery port rims. Indeed, if the dissolution time is very short, the fluid bulk modulus increases very quickly during the pre-compression phase just before opening the connection to delivery. The pressure level is a direct consequence of the bulk modulus (and volume variation), then shorter dissolution times lead to highest pressure peaks at the exact time of the connection to the delivery.

Pressure peaks determine the NVH characteristic of the pump, but not only. Pressure forces generate i) a torque demand to the pump driver (the combustion engine or an electric motor) and ii) a force acting on the stator ring. In the case of fixed displacement designs, the pressure force on the stator ring simply represents a load for the bearings, but in

the case of variable displacement designs, it contributes to the stator equilibrium and consequently to the displacement control. Figure 7 shows i) pressure peaks and ii) the mean pressure force acting on the stator ring as function of the dissolution time of the air within the liquid.




Figure 7: Pressure peaks and mean values of pressure forces on the stator ring.




Figure 6: Batch runs on air dissolution times.

3. Application: increase of energy efficiency

In the heavy equipment industry, fuel is one of the largest costs over the life of the vehicle. Improving fuel efficiency will translate into a reduction of the equipment operating costs. Many options may be considered to achieve the target, such as the optimization of the combustion engine, the optimization of the drivetrain efficiency and the vehicle hybridization. In particular, within the hybrid option, hydraulic hybrid is a choice that has many advantages compared to the well-known electric hybrid used in the automotive industry: higher power density, reliability, and others.

Forklift propulsion is commonly based on a hydrostatic transmission. During the braking phase, the kinetic energy of the forklift is mainly converted into thermal energy provided to the oil. Fuel savings of up to 25 percent and a corresponding reduction in CO₂ emissions are expected using a hydraulic hybrid drive.

The basic idea of a hydraulic energy recovery system is to convert the kinetic energy during braking into hydraulic energy and store it. The next time the vehicle accelerates, the stored energy is fed into the traction drive to relieve the driving combustion engine. The system consists of a traditional hydrostatic transmission with two variable-displacement machines (a pump connected to the combustion engine and a motor connected to the driveline), completed with a low-pressure and a high-pressure accumulator plus flow control valves to define the appropriate fluid path.

The fluid path during the braking phase is the following: the motor receives the kinetic energy and acts like a pump, moving the fluid from the low pressure (LP) accumulator (via V5) to the high pressure (HP) accumulator (via V4 and V2) where it is stored (pressurized fluid). In subsequent vehicle acceleration, the HP accumulator is discharged (via V2) and the fluid goes through the hydraulic pump to the motor (via V3) that propels the wheels, and then it is stored in the LP accumulator (via V6).




Figure 8: Energy recovery system from Bosch Rexroth. Operation in braking phase (green) and acceleration phase (orange).

A numerical model of the energy recovery system has been developed using LMS Amesim. The model parameterization corresponds to a 5-ton forklift (3T vehicle mass and 2T of load).

- Two reversible variable-displacement pump/motors (swash plate angle):
 - Hydraulic pump maximum displacement: 28 cc/rev,
 - Hydraulic motor maximum displacement: 55 cc/rev,
 - Efficiencies: volumetric and hydraulic-mechanical efficiency as function of the machine speed, pressure difference and fractional displacement
- The combustion engine, using look-up tables, computes the torque and the fuel consumption as well as the pollutant emissions and the combustion thermal losses. It is a 4-stroke Diesel engine with a swept volume of 2.0 L. A first level of engine control has been implemented and regulates the engine speed in function of the operator demand.
- Six flow-control valves
- A low-pressure accumulator and a high-pressure accumulator
 - Volume: 6L
 - Gas pre-charge pressure: 4.3 bar for the low-pressure, 40 bar for the high-pressure
- A final drive: axle ratio = 14
- The control strategies for the valve actuation and the hydraulic machines displacement




Figure 9: Simulation model of the energy recovery system.

The control block includes all of the external control piloting the flow control valves as well as the internal control of the variable displacement pump and motor, in order to manage the different modes (braking with energy recovery, normal propulsion, and propulsion with accumulator) as well as control of the acceleration of the vehicle and the pressure inside the closed-loop circuit:

- “Propelling with accumulators” mode: open valves are V2, V3 and V6; motor displacement is controlled to limit the vehicle acceleration (maxi: 1 m/s²); pump displacement is controlled to limit the pressure in the circuit.
- “Normal propelling” mode: valves open are V3 and V4; motor and pump displacements are controlled to reach the required velocity and limit the vehicle acceleration (max: 1.5 m/s²).
- “Regenerative braking” mode: valves V2, V4 and V5 are open, the others are closed; pump displacement is set to 0 and motor displacement is set to 100%. The deceleration is limited to -0.6 m/s² due to the pre-charge pressure in the HP accumulator. As this deceleration is acceptable in term of operator comfort, there is no need to control the motor displacement to reduce this deceleration.

A comparative study between a forklift equipped with the energy recovery system and another without energy recovery has been performed on a realistic working cycle, the VDI-2198 cycle.




Figure 10: VDI-cycle simulation results with a conventional forklift and a forklift equipped with the regenerative system (performance).

The performance of both systems is equivalent, but the forklift that includes energy recovery requires 20 percent less fuel to perform the cycle, which is in line with the expectations of the manufacturers of such a system.




Figure 11: Fuel consumption improvement with the hybrid system.

4. Application: reduction of vibrations

Heavy equipment systems are typically affected by vibrations of the machine with negative consequences for the operator's comfort, the machine durability and controllability. Consequently, international standards exist in order to define the acceptable vibration level for the operator's health. Vibrations arise as a consequence of several reasons, either in the mechanical domain (structural elasticity, dry friction, end-stops, etc.) and/or in the hydraulic domain (fluid compressibility, excitation of hydraulic natural modes, etc.). Vibrations are most likely to occur in specific environments (irregular soils), but also for certain working conditions such as the download motion of goods (overrunning loads).

The proposed application example describes a pure hydraulic technology applied to a hydraulic crane to control the load lowering. Counterbalance valves are widely used in fluid power (cranes, telehandlers, winches, etc.) to prevent the load from running ahead of the pump (falling). Overcenter (OVC) valves are special designs for counterbalance valves characterized by two pilot-pressures acting onto two corresponding influence surfaces with pilot ratio α . OVC valves have a normally closed position and an open position depending on the net balance between the pilot pressure forces and the spring force equivalent to the overcenter cracking pressure $p \cdot OVC$. In the case of piston extension, a check valve integrated within the OVC valve allows the free flow to the actuator; while in the case of piston retraction, the flow goes through the OVC valve and a counter-pressure is generated within the actuator balancing the gravity effects and thus preventing from falling.

It is well-known that OVC valve dynamics can play a significant role in the system behavior. In particular, oscillations often arise in case of load-sensing architectures.

The OVC cracking pressure ($p \cdot OVC$) is usually set depending on the maximum load to be held in the worst case of pipes failure; while the surface pilot ratio (α) is usually greater than 1 (up to 22), even though pilot ratios as small as 0.5 are also used.

The pilot ratio α strongly depends on the application and quite empirical recommendations can be found on valve catalogues.




Figure 12: LMS Amesim simulation model - hydraulic circuit for a load crane actuation.

Small pilot ratios (<5) are advised when the mechanical structure determines high changes in the load-induced pressure within the cylinder. Small α values allow a smooth and more precise motion of the load with higher pump pressurizations (and energy consumption).

High pilot ratios (>6) are advised when the mechanical structure maintains a load-induced pressure within the cylinder almost constant during the motion. Moreover high α values lead to faster machine operation and energy savings.


The LMS Amesim model for the load crane includes functional hydraulics based on component data sheets and 2D mechanics for the crane structure.

The hydraulic circuit is a load-sensing architecture coupled with a variable-displacement pump, with pre-compensated directional control valves for cylinders synchronization and overcenter valves for overrunning loads.




The aim of the simulation is the definition of the most suitable pilot ratio α for a smooth download motion of the crane structure, with an estimation of the corresponding pump energy consumption:

OVC valves pilot ratio α	Main boom	Outer boom
Run 1	2	0.5
Run 2	3	3
Run 3	5	5
Run 4	7	7

Directional control valves are directly piloted with step signals for arms extension (resistive loads) and then retraction (overrunning loads), while the pump speed is constant at 1500 rpm.



Simulation results confirm that lower pilot ratio α leads to less velocity oscillations, with higher energy consumptions by the load-sensing pump.



Conclusion

OEMs and suppliers in all industry sectors involving hydraulic, pneumatic and two-phase flow components can benefit from multi-domain system simulation. Experience shows that this technique has proven its benefits to solve integration problems earlier in the development cycle and shorten the development cycle. The LMS Imagine.Lab Amesim platform allows an easy collaboration between suppliers and OEMs thanks to an encryption capability that protects the intellectual property.

References

1. Markus G. Kliffken, Christine Ehret, Matthias Beck and Robert Stawiarski, Bosch Rexroth, "Put the Brake on Costs and Preserve the Environment with Hydraulic Hybrid Drive," ATZ off highway 2009.
2. Lorenzo Serrao, Giulio Ornella, Gilberto Burgio, Ettore Cosoli, Dana Holding Corp., DANA SAE, "Development and Testing of a Hydraulic Hybrid Concept for Off-Highway Vehicles," SAE 2013 Commercial Vehicle Engineering Congress.
3. Y. Brunel, G. Lemaire, Poclairn Hydraulics Industrie, "An Hydraulic Hybrid System Using Radial Piston P/M: Control and Efficiency," IFPE 2011 - 52nd National Conference on Fluid Power, March 23-25, Las Vegas, USA.
4. F. Furno, L. Vasile, D. Andersson, "The LMS Imagine.Lab AMESim Tool for the Analysis and the Optimization of Hydraulic Vane Pumps," 11th Scandinavian International Conference on Fluid Power, SICFP09, June 2-4, 2009, Linkoping, Sweden.
5. S. Manco, N. Nervegna, M. Rundo, G. Armenio, "Modeling and Simulation of Variable Displacement Vane Pumps for IC Engine Lubrication," 2004 SAE International World Congress, Detroit, Michigan.
6. J. Ivantsyn, M. Ivantsynova, Hydrostatic Pumps and Motors, Tech Books International 2003.
7. INCOSE. Systems Engineering Handbook: A Guide for System Life Cycle Processes and Activities, INCOSE, 2011.
8. D. Cristofori, A. Vacca and K. Ariyur, "A Novel Pressure-feedback Based Adaptative Control Method to Damp Instabilities of Hydraulic Machines," SAE 2012-01-2035.
9. G. F. Ritelli and A. Vacca, "Energy and Dynamic Impact of Counterbalance Valves in Fluid Power Machines," Energy Conversion and Management 76 (2013) 701-711 - Elsevier.
10. Bosch Rexroth Oil Control S.p.A, Counterbalance Valves Catalogue
11. N. Nervegna, Oleodinamica e pneumatica, Politeko 2003, Turin - Italy.

Siemens PLM Software

Headquarters

Granite Park One
5800 Granite Parkway
Suite 600
Plano, TX 75024
USA
+1 972 987 3000

Americas

Granite Park One
5800 Granite Parkway
Suite 600
Plano, TX 75024
USA
+1 314 264 8499

Europe

Stephenson House
Sir William Siemens Square
Frimley, Camberley
Surrey, GU16 8QD
+44 (0) 1276 413200

Asia-Pacific

Suites 4301-4302, 43/F
AIA Kowloon Tower, Landmark East
100 How Ming Street
Kwun Tong, Kowloon
Hong Kong
+852 2230 3308

About Siemens PLM Software

Siemens PLM Software, a business unit of the Siemens Digital Factory Division, is a leading global provider of product lifecycle management (PLM) and manufacturing operations management (MOM) software, systems and services with over 15 million licensed seats and more than 140,000 customers worldwide. Headquartered in Plano, Texas, Siemens PLM Software works collaboratively with its customers to provide industry software solutions that help companies everywhere achieve a sustainable competitive advantage by making real the innovations that matter. For more information on Siemens PLM Software products and services, visit www.siemens.com/plm.

www.siemens.com/plm

© 2016 Siemens Product Lifecycle Management Software Inc. Siemens and the Siemens logo are registered trademarks of Siemens AG. ALM, D-Cubed, Femap, Fibersim, Geolus, GO PLM, I-deas, JT, NX, Parasolid, Polarion, Solid Edge, Syncrofit, Teamcenter and Tecnomatix are trademarks or registered trademarks of Siemens Product Lifecycle Management Software Inc. or its subsidiaries in the United States and in other countries. All other logos, trademarks, registered trademarks or service marks belong to their respective holders.

55533-Y3 5/16 H